

1 KELLY M. KLAUS (SBN 161091)
kelly.klaus@mto.com

2 MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP
560 Mission Street, 27th Floor
3 San Francisco, California 94105-2907
Telephone: (415) 512-4000
4 Facsimile: (415) 512-4077

5 JORDAN D. SEGALL (SBN 281102)
jordan.segall@mto.com

6 ALISON A. DOYLE (SBN 332183)
alison.doyle@mto.com
7 MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP
350 South Grand Avenue, 50th Floor
8 Los Angeles, California 90071-3426
Telephone: (213) 683-9100
9 Facsimile: (213) 687-3702

10 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*
Columbia Pictures Industries, Inc.

11 MARC TOBEROFF (SBN 188547)
mtoberoff@toberoffandassociates.com
12 TOBEROFF & ASSOCIATES
23823 Malibu Road, Suite 50-363
13 Malibu, California 90265
Telephone: (310) 246-3333
14 Facsimile: (310) 246-3103

15 *Attorney for Defendants George Gallo, Sweet*
16 *Revenge Productions, Inc. and Robert "Bob"*
17 *Israel*

18 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

19 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, WESTERN DIVISION

20 COLUMBIA PICTURES INDUSTRIES,
21 INC.,

22 Plaintiff,

23 vs.

24 GEORGE GALLO, SWEET REVENGE
PRODUCTIONS, INC., and ROBERT "BOB"
ISRAEL,

25 Defendants.
26

Case No. 2:23-cv-5010

**JOINT STIPULATION EXTENDING
DEADLINE FOR DEFENDANTS TO
ANSWER PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT**

Judge: Hon. André Birotte Jr.

[Proposed Order filed concurrently]

1 Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-1, Plaintiff Columbia Pictures Industries, Inc. (“Plaintiff”)
2 and Defendants George Gallo (“Gallo”), Sweet Revenge Productions, Inc. (“Sweet Revenge”),
3 and Robert “Bob” Israel (“Israel”) (collectively, “Defendants”) (together with Plaintiff, the
4 “Parties”), by and through their respective counsel of record, stipulate as follows:

5 1. Plaintiff filed its Complaint against Defendants on June 23, 2023. Dkt. 1.

6 2. On September 21, 2023, Plaintiff filed proofs of service of summonses on
7 Defendants Sweet Revenge and Israel, as well as a waiver of service of summons executed by
8 Defendant Gallo. Dkts. 15–17.

9 3. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(a)(1)(A)(ii), Defendant George Gallo’s deadline to
10 answer the Complaint was November 20, 2023. Accordingly, the Parties stipulated to, and the
11 Court entered an order on October 13, 2023, extending the time for all Defendants to answer the
12 Complaint until November 20, 2023.

13 4. Subsequently, and in order to facilitate continued settlement discussions, the Parties
14 stipulated to, and the Court entered an order on November 17, 2023, extending the time for all
15 Defendants to answer the Complaint until December 20, 2023.

16 5. The Parties have reached an agreement in principle as to the key terms of a
17 settlement of this matter, but require additional time to negotiate a couple related terms and to
18 formalize their anticipated settlement agreement in a written instrument(s).

19 6. The Parties agree that extending the current deadline for Defendants’ answer or
20 other response such that the Parties will have additional time to finalize a settlement will promote
21 efficiency and the conservation of both the Parties and the Court’s resources;

22 7. Defendants have requested, and Plaintiff has agreed, that given the intervening
23 holidays all Defendants shall have through and including January 31, 2024, within which to
24 answer or otherwise respond to the Complaint;

25 8. There are currently no pending deadlines fixed by Court Order in this matter.

26 **NOW, THEREFORE**, the Parties stipulate and agree as follows:

27 Defendants’ deadline for answering the Complaint is extended through and including
28 January 31, 2024.

